

April 7, 2025

GU Ventures, Inc. Attn. Christopher Leonard 3495 Lakeside Drive # 1215 Reno, NV 89509

Sent via email: chris@greenunicornfarms.com

Re: Legal Status of Hemp Products Under Federal Law

Dear Mr. Leonard:

This letter is written for GU Ventures, Inc. ("GU Ventures") regarding the legal status of certain hemp products, including inhalable hemp "cannabis" prerolls, flower, and edibles. The specific question addressed in this letter is: "Are hemp products, including harvested hemp flowers and buds and products made with them, controlled substances under federal law when their delta-9 tetrahydrocannabinol (delta-9 THC) concentrations do not exceed 0.3% by dry weight, even if they contain concentrations of THCa and other cannabinoids in excess of 0.3%?" As discussed in this letter, the answer to this question is "No". With respect to harvested cannabis material and its downstream products, the sole factor that distinguishes between lawful hemp and unlawful marijuana is the concentration of delta-9 THC. The concentrations of other cannabinoids from the cannabis plant are irrelevant in determining whether or not cannabis material and/or its downstream products meet the definition of lawful "hemp" under federal law.

The analysis and conclusions contained in this letter are based on the Agricultural Act of 2014 (2014 Farm Act)¹, the Agricultural Improvement Act of 2018 (Farm Bill)², the federal Controlled Substances Act (CSA)³, the Drug Enforcement Administration's (DEA) Interim Final Rule (IFR)⁴, the DEA's letter to the Alabama Board of Pharmacy (Letter)⁵, a DEA letter regarding cannabis seeds and other cannabis materials⁶, a letter to a currently undisclosed recipient in response to a request for the control status of several compounds, including delta-9 THCA⁷, rulings by the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and the district court for the Eastern District of Arkansas⁸. This letter does not address any requirements under the federal Food, Drug & Cosmetic Act and associated regulations by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA).

¹ https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/BILLS-113hr2642enr/pdf/BILLS-113hr2642enr.pdf

² https://www.congress.gov/115/bills/hr2/BILLS-115hr2enr.pdf

³ 21 U.S. Code § 801 et seq.

⁴ https://www.deadiversion.usdoj.gov/fed_regs/rules/2020/fr0821.htm

⁵ https://docs.google.com/viewerng/viewer?url=https://cannabusiness.law/wp-content/uploads/DEA-letter-re-D8-to-Alabama.pdf&hl

⁶ https://s3.documentcloud.org/documents/21580238/21-7692-shane-pennington-cannabis-seeds-tissue-genetic-material-11-18-21-signed-1.pdf

⁷ file:///Users/rodkight/Downloads/DEA-THCA-and-HHC-letter.pdf

⁸ Anderson v. Diamondback Inv. Grp., No. 23-1400 (4th Cir. Sep. 4, 2024), AK Futures LLC v. Boyd St. Distro, LLC, 8:21-cv-01027-JVS-ADS (C.D. Cal. Jun. 15, 2022), Bio Gen LLC et al v. Sanders et al, 4:23 CV 718 BRW (September 7, 2023) [Document 65]

This letter is solely for GU Ventures. I have been informed it may be shared with select parties. All third parties are specifically advised that this letter is not intended to be legal advice for any party other than GU Ventures and should not be construed or relied upon as such. It is accurate as of the date above.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The word "cannabis" is a botanical term referring to the plant Cannabis Sativa I. It is not a legal term of art under federal law. Under federal law, the legal terms of art related to cannabis are "hemp" (or "industrial hemp"), which is lawful cannabis, and "marijuana", which is unlawful cannabis. The sole legal difference between legal harvested hemp and illegal marijuana is the concentration of delta-9 THC. Cannabis containing no more than 0.3% delta-9 THC is lawful "hemp". Cannabis containing more than 0.3% delta-9 THC is unlawful "marijuana". This distinction flows through to hemp products, which themselves are not controlled substances if their concentrations of delta-9 THC do not exceed 0.3%. The form that a hemp product takes does not change its legal status. For example, a hemp "THCa preroll" joint is just as lawful as a topical hemp CBD cream.

PART 1- DISCUSSION OF THE ISSUE: THE CONCENTRATION OF DELTA-9, NOT THCA, IS THE SOLE FACTOR IN DETERMINING A CANNABIS PRODUCT'S CONTROLLED STATUS

There are dozens of forms of the tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) molecule. Some of these forms are called isomers. An isomer is one of two or more compounds that contain the same number of atoms of the same elements but differ in structural arrangement and properties. There are at least thirty THC isomers thirty THC isomers that is used to delta-8 THC (D8-THC) and delta-10 THC (D10-THC) have recently gained more attention in the media and marketplace. As discussed below, the only THC isomer that is used to determine whether harvested hemp and hemp products are lawful under federal law is delta-9 THC. The quantity and concentration of other THC isomers, and other cannabinoids and forms of THC, including THCa, are totally irrelevant with respect to the legal status of harvested hemp and hemp products.

PART 2- HEMP IS NOT A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE

⁹ https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/isomer

¹⁰ See, eg, this website: https://cannabislifenetwork.com/amount-of-isomers-in-thc/. See also, this website: https://cannabusiness.law/thc-analogs-a-family-divided/

hemp or hemp products, it is relevant in determining the legal status of harvested hemp or hemp products, it is relevant in determining the legal status of hemp that has not been harvested. This is because USDA regulations require hemp to be tested for delta-9 THC using a "post-decarboxylation method" before it can be harvested. Because THCa converts to delta-9 THC when decarboxylated the THCa concentration of a pre-harvest hemp sample matters. However, and as discussed in this letter, this only applies to hemp that has not been harvested. It does not apply to harvested hemp and products made from it. Further reading on this issue, including testing standards, can be found at the following websites: https://cannabusiness.law/total-thc-and-harvested-hemp/, https://cannabusiness.law/thca-and-the-dea-rod-breaks-down-the-latest-news/

Hemp initially became exempt from the CSA, and thus removed from the list of controlled substances, by virtue of the 2014 Farm Act when produced pursuant to a state's industrial hemp pilot program. The current Farm Bill, enacted at the end of 2018, removed both "hemp" and "THC in hemp" from the CSA. 12 Hemp is lawful throughout the United States (US).

The Farm Bill defines "hemp" expansively. The definition includes the hemp plant and "<u>any part of that plant</u>, including the seeds thereof and all derivatives, extracts, cannabinoids, isomers, acids, salts, and salts of isomers, whether growing or not, with a <u>delta-9 THC</u> concentration of not more than 0.3 percent on a dry weight basis."¹³ (emphasis added)

The sole distinction between lawful cannabis (hemp) and unlawful cannabis (marijuana) is the concentrations of delta-9 THC in the harvested material. Harvested cannabis with delta-9 THC concentrations that do not exceed 0.3% is legal hemp. On the other hand, harvested cannabis with delta-9 THC concentrations that exceed 0.3% is illegal marijuana. The concentrations of the other cannabinoids in harvested cannabis, including THCa, are irrelevant with respect to its legal status. If the delta-9 THC concentration in harvested hemp or a hemp product does not exceed 0.3% by dry weight, then it is not a controlled substance under federal law.

IT IS LAWFUL TO TRANSPORT HEMP AND HEMP-DERIVED PRODUCTS IN INTERSTATE COMMERCE

The interstate transfer of hemp is authorized by 7 USC § 1621 subsection 10114(b), which states in relevant part: "No State or Indian Tribe shall prohibit the transportation or shipment of hemp or hemp products produced in accordance with subtitle G of the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (AMA) (as added by section 10113) through the State or the territory of the Indian Tribe, as applicable." Although state laws vary with respect to hemp and hemp products, it is absolutely clear that states and Indian tribes may not prohibit the transport of them through their borders.

HEMP AND HEMP PRODCUTS ARE LAWFUL ACCORDING TO THE DEA

I. The Interim Final Rule

The DEA has expressly stated that hemp and hemp products are not controlled substances. On August 21, 2020, the DEA published its Interim Final Rule (IFR) in the federal register¹⁶. In its IFR, the DEA stated:

"In order to meet the definition of "hemp", and thus qualify for the exemption from [S]chedule I, the derivative must not exceed the 0.3% <u>delta-9 THC</u> limit. The definition of "marihuana" continues to state that "all parts of the plant Cannabis sativa L." and "every

¹² <u>21 U.S.C. § 802(16)(B)</u>: "The term "marihuana" does not include— (i) hemp, as defined in section 16390 of title 7."

¹³ 7 U.S.C. § 1639o(1)

¹⁴ https://cannabusiness.law/thca-and-the-dea-rod-breaks-down-the-latest-news/

¹⁵ https://uscode.house.gov/statviewer.htm?volume=132&page=4914#

¹⁶ "Implementation of the Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018", Federal Register Volume 85, Number 163 (Friday, August 21, 2020).

compound manufacture, salt, derivative, mixture, or preparation of such plant," are [S]chedule I controlled substances unless they meet the definition of "hemp" (by falling below the 0.3% <u>delta-9 THC</u> limit on a dry weight basis)..." (<u>Emphasis</u> added).¹⁷

The DEA's IFR continues by stating that the listing for "tetrahydrocannabinols" (ie, "THC") under 21 U.S.C. 812(c) "does not include tetrahydrocannabinols in hemp."

The DEA's IFR confirms that hemp products, which by definition must contain no more than 0.3% delta-9 THC on a dry weight basis, are not controlled substances in the US.

II. DEA Public Statements

In addition to the IFR, the DEA has indicated in four public statements that cannabinoids and other cannabis materials are not controlled substances when their delta-9 THC concentrations do not exceed 0.3% on a dry weight basis.

1. DEA's First Public Statement- Town Hall Meeting

The DEA's first public statement is in the form of a video webinar called a "Town Hall with USDA and DEA" conducted by the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (FLDACS) on June 24, 2021. In the Town Hall webinar, the DEA representative stated the following:

"[W]hat I want to say, and I'll be very, very deliberate and clear. At this time, I repeat again, at this time, per the Farm Bill, the only thing that is a controlled substance is delta-9 THC greater than 0.3% on a dry-weight basis." (emphasis added)¹⁸

2. DEA's Second Public Statement- Letter to the Alabama Board of Pharmacy

The DEA publicly addressed the legal status of the various forms of THC in hemp again in the form of a response letter to the Alabama Board of Pharmacy (ABOP) dated September 15, 2021.¹⁹ In this letter, Terrence L. Boos, Ph.D., Chief of the DEA's Drug and Chemical Evaluation Section of the Diversion Control Division, responds to the ABOP's request for the controlled status of delta-8 THC. After differentiating between the legal status of marijuana and hemp, both of which are botanically "cannabis sativa!", the DEA states:

"[C]annabinoids extracted from the cannabis plant that have a <u>delta-9 THC</u> concentration of not more than 0.3 percent on a dry weight basis meet the definition of "hemp" and thus are not controlled under the CSA."

Additionally, the DEA states the following in a footnote:

¹⁷ https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2020-08-21/html/2020-17356.htm

¹⁸ The pertinent portions of the webinar can be viewed at this website: https://cannabusiness.law/is-d8-from-hemp-a-controlled-substance-dea-says-no/

¹⁹ https://albop.com/oodoardu/2021/10/ALBOP-synthetic-delta8-THC-21-7520-signed.pdf

"The Agricultural Improvement Act of 2018 (AIA), Pub. L. 115-334, § 12619, amended the CSA to remove "tetrahydrocannabinols in hemp" from control. See 21 U.S.C. § 812, Schedule I(c)(17). As noted, however, "hemp" is defined to "mean the plant Cannabis sativa L. and any part of that plant, including the seeds thereof and all derivatives, extracts, cannabinoids, isomers, acids, salts, and salts of isomers, whether growing or not, with a delta-9tetrahydrocannabinol concentration of not more than 0.3 percent on a dry weight basis." 7 U.S.C. 1639o. Thus, only tetrahydrocannabinol in or derived from the cannabis plant-not synthetic tetrahydrocannabinol-is subject to being excluded from control as a "tetrahydrocannabinol[] in hemp." (emphasis added)²⁰

3. DEA's Third Public Statement- Response Letter Regarding Seeds and Cannabis Materials

In response to an inquiry regarding the DEA's interpretation of its implementing regulations regarding cannabis the DEA stated in a letter dated January 6, 2022: "[M]aterial that is derived or extracted from the cannabis plant such as tissue culture and any other genetic material that has a delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol concentration of not more than 0.3 percent on a dry weight basis meets the definition of "hemp" and thus is not controlled under the CSA." (emphasis added)²¹

4. DEA's Fourth Public Statement- Response Letter Regarding the Control Status of Several Compounds

On June 9, 2023, the DEA issued a letter to a currently undisclosed recipient in response to a request for the control status of several compounds, including delta-9 THCA.²² It issued a similar letter on May 13, 2024.23 In both letters, the DEA states: "[C]annabinoids that are extracted from the cannabis plant and that have a delta-9 THC concentration of not more than 0.3% on a dry weight basis meet the definition of 'hemp'." The DEA also addresses the control status of delta-9 THCA, stating:

"In regards to delta-9-THCA, Congress has directed that, when determining whether a substance constitutes hemp, delta-9 THC concentration is to be tested "using postdecarboxylation or other similarly reliable methods." 7 USC § 1639p(a)(2)(A)(ii); 7 USC § 1639q(a)(2)(B). The "decarboxylation" process converts delta-9 THCA to delta-9 THC. Thus, for the purposes of enforcing the hemp definition, the delta-9 THC level must account for any delta-9-THCA in a substance.... Accordingly, cannabis derived delta-9 THCA does not meet the definition of hemp under the CSA because upon conversion for identification purposes as required by Congress, it is equivalent to delta-9 THC."24

In this portion of the letter, the DEA is clearly referring to hemp that has not been harvested. This is because, while federal law requires the use of a post-decarboxylation test prior to harvesting

²⁰ Ibid.

²¹ https://s3.documentcloud.org/documents/21580238/21-7692-shane-pennington-cannabis-seedstissue-genetic-material-11-18-21-signed-1.pdf

²² file:///Users/rodkight/Downloads/DEA-THCA-and-HHC-letter.pdf

²³ https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/24688803-24-9472-porter-wright-thca-05032024-signed ²⁴ Ibid.

hemp, neither a post-decarboxylation test, nor any test, applies to <u>post-production hemp</u> for the purposes of determining its control status. The two statutes cited by the DEA in its letter are the only two places in the Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018, commonly known as the "2018 Farm Bill", that the term "post decarboxylation" appears. They both apply solely to hemp production.

In the first statutory provision, 7 USC § 1639p(a)(2)(A)(ii), Congress sets forth the criteria that states and Indian tribes must comply with in order to "have primary regulatory authority over the production of hemp" within their jurisdictions. The second statutory provision, 7 USC § 1639q(a)(2)(B), is similar in that it sets forth the criteria that the USDA shall use to "monitor and regulate [hemp] production" in states that do not have an approved hemp plan and thus do not have primary authority over hemp production within their jurisdictions.

The key word in the above provisions is "production". In the context of hemp, "production" is a legal term of art. Under 7 CFR § 990.1, to "produce" means: "To grow hemp plants for market, or for cultivation for market, in the United States." Additionally, 7 CFR § 718.2 defines a "producer" as "an owner, operator, landlord, tenant, or sharecropper, who shares in the risk of producing a crop and who is entitled to share in the crop available for marketing from the farm, or would have shared had the crop been produced. A producer includes a grower of hybrid seed." To produce hemp means to grow it.

Since the post-decarboxylation test clearly applies to producers, the DEA is correct with respect to hemp that has not been harvested when it states that "for the purposes of enforcing the hemp definition, the delta-9 THC level must account for any delta-9-THCA." However, once the pre-harvested hemp has accounted for delta-9 THCA and passed the required post-decarboxylation test, it may be harvested and no further tests are required. Further, as discussed above, the DEA has confirmed that, "the only thing that is a controlled substance is delta-9 THC greater than 0.3% on a dry-weight basis." ²⁵ ²⁶

With respect to THCa for harvested hemp, it is important to note that the testing method used to distinguish hemp from marijuana is critical. Most state crime laboratories use testing methods that decarboxylate the THCa in a cannabis sample. These testing methods literally create the molecule (ie, delta-9 THC) that they are measuring. In other words, most testing methods used by law enforcement convert lawful hemp into illegal marijuana. Only a proper testing method, namely one that does not decarboxylate the THCa, can determine whether or not a cannabis sample is lawful hemp or illegal marijuana.

Additionally, the legal definition of "hemp" includes its "acids". All cannabinoids in their acidic forms contain a carboxylic acid group that degrades (ie, converts) to a different compound when subjected to a "post decarboxylation" testing method. ²⁷ In other words, using a post-

_

²⁵ See footnote 18, above.

²⁶ The following website discusses this issue: https://cannabusiness.law/thca-and-the-dea-rod-breaks-down-the-latest-news/

²⁷ The undersigned confidentially asked two well-known and respected cannabis laboratory scientists about acidic cannabinoids and decarboxylation. One scientist's response was: "I would be comfortable saying I do not suspect that any cannabinoid in an acid form that would be standardly tested for survives the conditions required for GCMS [gas chromatography mass spectrometry]." GCMS is a "post-decarboxylation" testing method. The other scientist had a similar response.

decarboxylation method to test harvested hemp degrades the pertinent acids in the hemp plant, rendering the term "acid" superfluous. A statute should be construed so that effect is given to all its provisions, so that no part will be inoperative or superfluous, void or insignificant.²⁸ For this reason any interpretation of the 2018 Farm Bill that would require a post-decarboxylation test for harvested hemp renders the term "acid" superfluous and is thus contrary to the plain language of the statute.

The DEA's public statements all clearly indicate that harvested hemp and hemp products containing less than 0.3% delta-9 THC on a dry weight basis are lawful.

FEDERAL COURTS CONFIRM THAT HEMP PRODUCTS ARE NOT CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES

The federal Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit issued an opinion regarding hemp products, specifically products containing delta-8 THC, in the context of a trademark dispute. In its opinion, the Ninth Circuit noted that "the only statutory metric for distinguishing controlled marijuana from legal hemp is the delta-9 THC concentration level." (emphasis added)²⁹ Additionally, the federal district court for the Eastern District of Arkansas ruled: "Under the 2018 Farm Bill's standard, the only way to distinguish controlled marijuana from legal hemp is the delta-9 THC concentration level. Additionally, the definition extends beyond just the plant to "all derivatives, extracts, [and] cannabinoids. The definition covers downstream products and substances, if their delta-9 THC concentration does not exceed the statutory threshold." Most recently, when agreeing with the Ninth Circuit that the Farm Bill is "unambiguous", the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals ruled "there's a legal distinction between so-called "hemp-derived" products and marijuana." It further stated: "The critical distinction that separates illegal marijuana and THC from legal hemp under both state and federal law is a product's delta-9 THC concentration." (emphasis added)³¹

Harvested cannabis material and cannabis products containing no more than 0.3% delta-9 THC on a dry-weight basis are not controlled substances under US federal law. They conform to the Farm Bill, the CSA, and the IFR. They also comply with the legal metric set forth by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals, and the district court for the Eastern District of Arkansas.

CONCLUSION

Harvested cannabis material, including buds and flowers, containing delta-9 THC concentrations that do not exceed 0.3% by dry weight are lawful hemp under federal law, regardless of their concentrations of THCa or any other cannabinoid. As discussed in this letter, this conclusion is

²⁸ Hibbs v. Winn, 542 U.S. 88, 101 (2004) (quoted in Corley v. United States, 556 U.S. 303, 314 (2009)); Astoria Federal Savings & Loan Ass'n v. Solimino, 501 U.S. 104, 112 (1991). See also, Bailey v. United States, 516 U.S. 137, 146 (1995) ("We assume that Congress used two terms because it intended each term to have a particular, nonsuperfluous meaning.") (rejecting interpretation that would have made "uses" and "carries" redundant in statute penalizing using or carrying a firearm in commission of offense).

²⁹ AK Futures LLC v. Boyd St. Distro, LLC, 35 F.4th 682 (9th Cir. 2022)

³⁰ Bio Gen LLC et al v. Sanders et al, 4:23 CV 718 BRW (September 7, 2023) [Document 65]

³¹ Anderson v. Diamondback Inv. Grp., No. 23-1400 (4th Cir. Sep. 4, 2024)

supported by all three branches of the federal government: by Congress in the hemp provisions of the Farm Bill, by the Executive in the DEA's IFR and other public statements, and by the federal courts.

Sincerely,

Rod Kight, Attorney